

# **InterPARES 3 Project**

International Research on Permanent Authentic Records in Electronic Systems

TEAM Korea

XML: Examining the Criteria to be an Open Standard File Format

> InterPARES 3 Project 4th International Symposium 17 September 2010 Oslo, Norway

> > Eun Park IP3 TEAM Korea McGill University

## **Table of Contents**

- Why file format is important?
- Research questions
- Basics of XML
- Open standard format
- Criteria of reviewing file formats

1) Autonomy family;

2) Interoperability family;

- 3) Authenticity family; and
- 4) Functionality family.
- Issues

"The ever-growing complexity and heterogeneity of digital file formats together with rapid changes in underlying technologies have posed extreme challenges to the longevity of information" (Becker, et al. 2008).

## Why Is File Format Important?

- Most file formats are proprietary and dependent on various operating systems, hardware and software combinations.
- Preservation file format vs. Access file format.
- Three main file formats: TIFF (GIF, JPEG); PDF (and PDF/A); ODF; various XML subsets.
- In order to select the best file format, various criteria have been proposed.

### **Research Questions**

- 1) What are the characteristics of XML file formats, according to the defined requirements?
- 2) What are the basic requirements of open standard file formats for long-term preservation?
- 3) What are the recommendations for open file formats that meet the criteria for long-term preservation?

## XML

- XML (eXtensible Markup Language) developed under the direction of W3C.
- XML as an open specification.
- XML is compatible with SGML, human-legible, easy to create and clear to understand.
- The W3C officially recommended XML Version 1.0 in 2008.
- Numerous subsets of XML exist.
- The Office Open XML specification has been an open standard file format by ISO and IEC as an International Standard (ISO/IEC 29500).

## **Open Standard Format**

- Defined as "formats for which the technical specifications has been made available in the public domain" (The National Archives, 2003).
- Refers to independence from outside proprietary or commercial control (Stanescu, 2005).

→ We need to review the characteristics that appear to be at the core of the open standard movement.

### **Criteria for Examining File Formats**

• Grouping various criteria into four families:

Autonomy family;
Interoperability family;
Authenticity family; and
Functionality family.

## 1) Autonomy Family

- The document should...
  - be self-contained;
  - contain all information needed to access and process the content, structure, formatting and necessary metadata;
  - be independent of proprietary or commercial hardware and software configurations; and
  - be capable of preventing problems with software versions, outdated material or patent/copyright issues.
- Examples of criteria:
  - Metadata support, self-documentation
  - Openness, open availability
  - Dependencies, device independencies, externaldependency, etc.

## 2) Interoperability Family

- The ability of a file format to be compatible with other formats and exchange documents without loss of information (the National Archives, 2003; ECMA, 2006).
- Specifically, the ability of a given software application to open a document without requiring any special application, plug-in, codec, or proprietary add-on.
- All XML-derived specifications are compatible.
- Examples: Robustness, data interchange, etc.

## 3) Authenticity Family

- The ability to guarantee that a file is what it originally was without any corruption or alteration and that it faithfully represents the original content (Becker, et al., 2008; the National Archives, 2003)
- Assessing the integrity of the file through:
  - Validating the traceability of a file; or
  - Reviewing external log files.
- Examples: Integrity of layout, integrity of structure, etc.

## 4) Functionality Family

- The ability of a format to do exactly what it is supposed to be doing.
- This is why it is important to distinguish between two broad uses: preservation of the document structure and formatting, and preservation of useable content.
- Examples: Technical protection mechanism, adoption, component reuse, etc.

Criteria Table				
	Criteria	<b>Definition/Notes</b>	<b>Referred by</b>	XML Yes/No
	Disclosure	Authoritative specification publicly available.	Abrams et al. (2005)	N/A
	Disclosure	Existence of complete documentation.	CENDI (2007) Hodge & Anderson (2007)	Yes
/	Open Availability	No proprietary formats.	Barnes (2006)	N/A
	Open Availability	Any manufacturer or researcher should have the ability to use the standard, rather than having it under the control of only one company.	Lesk (1995)	N/A
	Openness	Standardization, Restrictions on the interpretation of the file format, Reader with freely available source.	Rog & Wijk (2007) Wijk & Rog (2007)	N/A
	Open Standard	Formats for which the technical specification has been made available in the public domain.	Brown (2003)	N/A

#### Issues

- Although XML is not proprietary, it has many subsets with different technical specifications, which are dependent on a specific file provider.
- Which file format is most appropriate to us?
- We will look at the basic characteristics of open standard file formats rather than specific subsets of XML.